## WIT - Replacement Project

## Organizational Change Management Plan for ITSD

Plan Approval Date: December 2022

The ITSD OCM Working Group Team (names in bold are part of the OCM planning team):

Roles	Assigned  Assigned			Primary Responsibilities					
Plan	Christa Castanon			Endorse OCM plans and activities					
Sponsor(s)	Sumit Gupta				Provide active and visible sponsorship				
- politica (c)	Summe Supra				Communicate directly with employees				
					"Manage management" of affected organizations, help remove roadblocks				
Change	e Kelly Ziesemer				Drives change management & helps develop associated OCM plans				
Team	Reddy Varakantham				Support other roles (Project Sponsor, Project Team, Project Support, Senior Leaders,				
	Liane Johnson				Managers)				
					Works with project teams, SMEs, and other resources to ensure a successful execution				
					Lead coordination of OCM meetings and planning and reporting activities				
Subject	Chad Stoker		Aaron Mason		Provide requirements, process, forecasting & trending, and technical expertise				
Matter	Rebecca McGinnis		Vel Viswanathan		Contribute specialized knowledge, reporting, tools that can help the project team and				
Experts	Ardriel Galvan		Cuong Dang		OCM activities  IT & data analytics				
	Rob Allred Lawrence Banks		Dean Gruginski Dean Stainbrook		· ·				
	Famous Atkison		Peter Sundh		Dev ops				
	Krystin Boydstun		Michele McN	- Pr			5		
					Who will be the preferred sender of messages? How often will the team meet in 2023				
				Christa	ta Castanon and/or Sumit Gupta Quarterly or monthly				
How will this	How will this team How will this team			Why are we replacing ETO?					
share information? seek-out feedback?			dback?						
Division town halls,		Division town halls,		The current system is slow, difficult to use, and doesn't integrate with other systems or provide accurate					
emails, IT division		emails, Teams polling		data and reporting.					
Pulse messages,		features, Pulse		To provide exceptional customer service we need a user-friendly technology system for employees and					
newsletters, 1:1s, messages, huddles, staff			partners of the WorkSource partnership.						
					t serve the needs of the agency, the system must have data and reporting accuracy as well as the needed for future modifications and system integrations.				
ITSD Strengths					ITSD Opportunities				
Knowledge of current system, IT and data analytics						Accountability all around – Sups/Mgrs to hold staff accountable			
Vendor/technology collaboration (vendor, business, WaTech, Product						(duties/due dates, etc.), and Sups/Mgrs are accountable as well			
Management liaison)						Leverage talent and skills appropriately			
Resilient group (used to lots of change and able to keep working						Prioritization			
through change)						Long-term planning (including future system capabilities and portfolio			
<ul> <li>Underst</li> </ul>	tanding of s	tatewide IT po	licies and lega	l consider	rations	management to prevent working in firefighting mode)			
<ul> <li>Securing</li> </ul>	-					Communication (about priorities and in general)			
Architect						<ul> <li>Engagement (avoid</li> </ul>	multitasking during town halls, huddles, etc.)		
Consulting in technology forecasting, trending, integration									

## Perceptions, Risks, and Concerns

What excites us about this upcoming change?

- Opportunity for growth and to restore trust our customers have in ITSD
- Low-code implementation for easier future system management
- Better designed system with more functionality

What concerns we have about this upcoming change?

- ESD's ability to provide adequate training
- Getting vendors to meet actual needs
- Migrating data from ETO to WIT replacement system
- Stress of the project and potentially losing staff

What resistance do we anticipate having with this change?

- The existing ETO vendor may not support the WIT-ETO replacement project which could create challenges around migrating the data
- Staff may not be engaged if they think this project doesn't apply to them
- Management not listening to IT staff with the technical expertise on what  $\,$ should or shouldn't be done

Risks or consequences of not making this change:

- Continued use of an unsustainable, degraded system that doesn't meet current needs
- The agency will be out of compliance

## What Will Success Look Like?

- Happy customers; improved relationship between IT/EC/LWDB WorkSource partnership
- Reduction in tickets which is a byproduct of success and meeting users' needs
- Data migrates successfully
- Accuracy in data and reporting
- Can work proactively on future opportunities and not in firefighting mode to make changes
- Modernized platform aligns with agency strategy
- Good vendor relationship and accountability
- Solid hand-off with vendor
- Proper succession planning, funding, resources, etc., for maintenance and operations
- Adequate training for IT staff and users
- Project is completed within scope, schedule, and budget after robust testing and buy-in from all stakeholders across the WorkSource partnership

ADKAR Methodology and Planned Steps to Support Change within ITSD:

ADMAN Methodology and Flaimed Steps to Support Change Within 1155.									
Awareness	Desire	Knowledge	Ability	Reinforcement					
Division town halls Leverage 'talking points' document packet IT division Pulse emails 1:1s Team huddles Trivia	SMEs participate in development Change Champions Staff voice sharing with peers Videos Ensure folks feel heard, are part of the process, suggestions are considered	Short training videos FAQs Layered approach; multiple places to learn new system Central repository with desk aids	Test model for people to practice; non-live environment  Create 'admin' days for learning, practice of new system  TEAMs channel/group email inbox to ask questions	Helpful if staff can see 'dashboard' in real-time     Share the wins     Celebration of milestones     Recognize behaviors we want repeated     Use feedback loops					